

**Lenzie Community Council**  
Minutes of Zoom meeting on 21<sup>st</sup> October 2020

		<b>ACTION</b>
<b>1.0</b>	<b>ATTENDANCE</b>	
1.1	<b>Present</b> - Rosemary Craig; Tony Miles; Tom Gray; Aileen Mundy; Christine Morrison; Suzanne Smith; Mark Billington; Drena O'Malley; Cllr Sandra Thornton	
1.2	<b>Apologies</b> - Gayle McNeil	
<b>2.0</b>	<b>MINUTES OF 16<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2020 VIRTUAL MEETING</b>	
	Acceptance of the minutes was proposed by Mark Billington and seconded by Christine Morrison.	<b>TG</b>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>MATTERS ARISING</b>	
3.1	<b>Proposed Defibrillator</b> – Further contact had been made with Scotrail and a process had been started to determine the feasibility and cost of installing an AED at the Waiting Room. A recent reminder email had not been answered and it was agreed to send a further reminder. The AED firm which had supplied Scotrail, provided technical information on the system. Various technical aspects have been discussed and a notional cost was established of around £1,300 for a basic unit (similar to several installed in Scotrail Stations) or £1,450 for a model with CPR guidance. It was thought originally that Lenzie RFC was interested to support this project, although that related to the pre-lockdown period. Mark Billington was asked to check the present situation with LRFC. The Treasurer noted that it would be advisable to check on LCC's exposure re insurance.	<b>MB CM &amp; TG</b>
3.2	<b>Kelvin River Path</b> – LCDT have decided to withdraw from this project. EDC have it under review but there are technical problems.	<b>TG</b>
3.3	<b>Land for Sale</b> – It is believed that this area has been withdrawn from offer.	
3.4	<b>AGM</b> – The AGM is normally held in November but it is clear that the present constraints make it impossible to meet the desirable aims of involving the general public. For this reason it was proposed by the Convener that the AGM be postponed until these aims can be reasonably met. This proposal was seconded by Aileen Mundy. The meeting accepted this proposal.	
<b>4.0</b>	<b>PLANNING</b>	
4.1	<b>Weekly lists</b> - The Planning Convener had provided a summary of applications on the weekly lists covering September to October. Two of these did not appear to be contentious. A further application, (in two parts) involving some demolition and re-building in a conservation area was discussed. It was agreed that this application was also non-contentious.	<b>SS</b>
4.2	<b>Woodilee Road/Initiative Road Proposal</b> – Documents relating to a proposal for Residential/Respite accommodation and a Training/Resource Centre near to the Deafblind Scotland Centre had	

**Lenzie Community Council**  
Minutes of Zoom meeting on 21<sup>st</sup> October 2020

		<b>ACTION</b>
	been circulated with the weekly lists. This was for an Application 'In Principle'. The status of an In Principle application was not fully understood and no decision was taken at the meeting to accept or reject this application. Subsequent information and discussion after the meeting clarified that such an application does not require as much detail as a full application but provides an opportunity to raise major points at an early stage. Accordingly, it was agreed to circulate this information to members and ask for views on an accept/reject/neutral basis.	<b>All &amp; TG</b>
4.3	<b>Meadowburn Proposal</b> – The proposers have withdrawn their application and will be revising the red line boundary before submitting it again.	<b>SS</b>
4.4	<b>Dawn Woodilee Proposal</b> – This proposal had been notified as 'withdrawn'. However, LCC had been invited to participate in a virtual consultation event for Dawn Woodilee's proposed residential development. Arrangements for that were in hand.	<b>SS</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>TREASURER'S REPORT</b>	
5.1	A balance sheet as at 5 <sup>th</sup> October had been circulated. Allowing for payments still to be made in respect of the STP project and the photo competition, the provisional surplus to date is £1,388.20. Adding that to the end of year reserves at 31/3/20 of £3,647.46, the net provisional reserves amount to £5,035.	
<b>6.0</b>	<b>SCOTTISH TOWNS PARTNERSHIP PROJECT</b>	
6.1	<p>The funding for this project was allocated to three activities – PPE, Signage and Digital High Street. The PPE funding is fully spent.</p> <p>Some interior signage funding has been directed towards the Boys' Brigade re-opening needs and two of the churches have been able to buy banners to publicise their arrangements for services in the churches and online. A poster design has been commissioned on the theme of shopping local, with reminders of key actions to minimise the risk of virus transmission. The meeting agreed to print these posters. Given that most businesses and organisations had to commission signage early, in order to reopen and the fact that signage costs are not high the spend rate on this element of the project is low. There was some discussion of Community Council signs to reinforce Covid safety advice which it was thought was not always being followed, but no definite proposal was made.</p> <p>The digital village concept has not developed to a working stage as yet, having considered web ideas and a mobile phone App.</p> <p>A new funding scheme has been proposed under the STP umbrella and this was considered in terms of the market stall idea previously rejected by the funders. However, this was not pursued for a variety of reasons, including a lack of human resources to implement.</p>	<b>All</b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b>AOCB &amp; CORRESPONDENCE</b>	
7.1	<b>Remembrance Sunday</b> – It was noted that the normal arrangements	

**Lenzie Community Council**  
Minutes of Zoom meeting on 21<sup>st</sup> October 2020

		<b>ACTION</b>
	for Remembrance Sunday cannot be implemented (principally joint services, including at Woodilee War Memorial). Callum Dewar had developed various ideas from material provided by the Boys' Brigade centrally. These were very much approved and Callum was commended for pursuing the ideas and bringing them to fruition.	
7.2	<b>Draft Strategic Housing Plan (SHIP)</b> – This plan had been circulated to members around 19 <sup>th</sup> October. There had been one response, asking if individual responses were required or a response on behalf of LCC. Individuals are certainly encouraged to respond but it was thought that an overall response was also required if possible. The Plan comprises a report and a questionnaire and the questions need to be answered in the context of the report (ie not an individual opinion but one made in the light of the report content.) It was agreed that members consider the questions and return their answers to the Secretary as soon as possible.	<b>All &amp; TG</b>
<b>8.0</b>	<b>DATE OF NEXT MEETING</b>	
	The next meeting would normally be on 18 <sup>th</sup> November	